

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of California

United States of America)

v.)

ROBERT ROBINSON)

Case No: 2:05CR00034-04

USM No: 15557-097

Date of Previous Judgment: May 8, 2006

Christopher Haydn-Myer

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

) Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: 31

Amended Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: VI

Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: 188 to 235 months

Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain):

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 05/08/2006 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: July 8, 2008



Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Lawrence K. Karlton, United States District Judge

Printed name and title